arXiv:astro-ph/9211003v1 7 Nov 1992

EVOLUTION OF ELLIPTICAL GALAXIES: I – THE MULTIPHASE MODEL

Federico Ferrini[1], **Bianca Maria Poggianti**[2]

[1]Dipartimento di Fisica, Sezione di Astronomia, Università di Pisa
Piazza Torricelli 2, 56100 Pisa, Italia
[2]Dipartimento di Astronomia, Università di Padova
Vicolo dell'Osservatorio 5, 35122 Padova, Italia

Received 27 July 1992

ABSTRACT

We present a complex model for the evolution of elliptical galaxies in the general framework of the multiphase models for galactic evolution.

The elliptical is subdivided into an internal and an external region and their individual but joined evolution is computed in detail.

Diffuse gas, molecular clouds, stars and remnants are taken into account. The Star Formation Rate is therefore assumed to be a two–step process: gas clouds form out of diffuse gas and then stars form out of gas clouds. Cloud–cloud collisions and stimulated processes are considered as the main causes of star formation. The occurrence of winds driven by Supernovae is taken into account, and the evolution of the system is considered also after the first wind, allowing for further star formation from the restored gas.

The evolution of the abundances of 15 elements or isotopes (H, D, ^{3}He, ^{4}He, ^{12}C, ^{13}C, ^{14}N, ^{16}O, ^{20}Ne, ^{24}Mg, ^{28}Si, ^{32}S, ^{40}Ca, ^{56}Fe, and neutron–rich isotopes synthetized from ^{12}C, ^{13}C, ^{14}N and ^{16}O) is followed with detailed stellar nucleosynthesis as well as stellar lifetimes are taken into account as a consequence of relaxing the instantaneous recycling approximation. A new IMF, constant in space and time, has also been adopted.

The gas removal due to the Supernovae explosions depends on the galactic mass and the presence of dark matter; the subsequent wind episodes are crucial to the intergalactic gas enrichment. Good agreement is obtained for current SNs rates, Star Formation Rate and gas masses when compared to the available data.

Subject headings: galaxies: evolution – stars: formation – galaxies: stellar content – nucleosynthesis – galaxies: abundances

1. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the evolution of elliptical galaxies from theoretical arguments involves three fundamental aspects:

(1) formation and organization of the structure throught a rapid collapse. The dynamical processes during the early evolution of ellipticals are essential in order to fix the observed properties, more than for spirals where one or two – when considering the presence of the thin and thick disk components – accretion phases determine the large scale structure.

(2) The role of the gas: it is the material from which stars are born; it is present in a statistically significant sample (Forman *et al.*, 1985; Sadler & Gerhard, 1985; Sparks *et al.*, 1985; Jura, 1986; Jura *et al.*, 1987; Schweizer, 1987; Bregman *et al.*, 1988; Huchtmeier, 1988; Kim *et al.*, 1988; Sadler, 1988; Veron-Cetty & Veron, 1988; Fabbiano, 1989; Gordon, 1990; Jura, 1990; Di Serego Alighieri *et al.*, 1990; Walsch *et al.*, 1990; Gordon, 1991; Roberts *et al.*, 1991, Lees *et al.*, 1991); it is expelled from galaxies and accumulates in clusters, contributing totally or partially to the intergalactic gas metal enrichment; it is the source of the X ray emission; it is a tracer of the gravitational potential; its origin may be internal or external by capture in the cluster; a quick substantial removal of gas influences the dynamics inducing a strong relaxation phase.

(3) Stellar evolution: it gives the amount of Type I and II supernovae and then the strenght of the engine responsible for the heating of the gas and its removal. Nucleosynthesis determines the enrichment history, the abundances of elements both in the galactic and intergalactic gas. These quantities depend on Star Formation Rate (SFR) and Initial Mass Function (IMF).

An evolutionary model may be judged from its ability to joust on various scales: the largest for dynamics, the smallest for stars, the intermediate for star formation and gas. In this respect the multiphase approach by Ferrini, Shore and coworkers gives a good framework, as it describes satisfactorily the properties of spiral galaxies for the last two points, with some hints on the first one. We wish to apply the same physical and mathematical scheme to ellipticals, considering the characteristic processes of these early

type galaxies.

The models previously presented in the literature are not completely satisfying; in the following we discuss briefly the recent ones.

Arimoto and Yoshii (1987, AY), Yoshii and Arimoto (1987), and Arimoto (1989) developed a model considering the chemical enrichment history as well as the resulting photometric evolution.

Similar models have been further developed by Matteucci and Tornambè (1987, MT), Brocato *et al.* (1990) and Matteucci (1992) who introduced a more detailed nucleosynthesis evolution, considering several elements instead of the total "metal" content, and a more accurate treatment of the Type I and II SNs, while in the AY model only SN II were considered.

Angeletti and Giannone (1990 AG; 1991), studying a model very similar to the one proposed by AY, criticized AY results on the basis of a non adequate numerical accuracy in the code.

A common remark to all these approaches is that they do not consider a self–consistent star formation history: the SFR is assumed simply proportional to the total amount of gas or to the total gas density, imposing *a priori* the evolution of the system. They choose as free parameter the scaling coefficient and assume for simplicity a linear dependence.

As it has been already stressed for spiral galaxies (Ferrini *et al.* 1992, FMPP), this is a too rough approximation to the problem, since the self–regulating properties of the star formation (SF) process are now well established to be not linear and must be considered explicitely in the physical and mathematical description of the galactic evolution.

Furthermore it is well known that molecular clouds and not the diffuse gas phase are the seeds of SF. On the contrary, the diffuse gas and not the molecular clouds suffers efficiently the heating process and consequently can be removed from the galaxy, when the conditions for the occurrence of the wind are established. Consequently, the two different gaseous phases must be considered simultaneously in an evolutionary scheme.

We remember that multiphase descriptions of the interstellar medium (ISM) have been developed since many years (see Ferrini 1991a for a review), while only a limited number of models of galactic evolution considers the presence of molecular clouds as distinguished

from diffuse gas.

All the models in literature, but Arimoto (1989), consider the SF process ended at the occurrence of the first wind. The correct treatment of the enriched gas restitution from evolving stars and the cycling of gas phases as in the multiphase approach will obviously overcome this rough and unrealistic approximation.

David, Forman & Jones (1990; 1991a; 1991b) and Ciotti *et al.* (1991), developed hydrodynamic approaches to gas evolution, where the accent is placed on the heating and expulsion process of the enriched gas, always with the conventional assumption of an exponential SFR.

Our aim in the present paper is to analyze what can be learnt from the extension of multiphase models to elliptical galaxies. The following step will join this multiphase model to a fully hydrodynamic code in connection with a spectrophotometric code under preparation.

The paper is organized in the following way: in Section 2 we present the physical ingredients of the model, that is the multiphase scheme which leads to the set of evolution equations. We discuss in detail the prescriptions for the Type I and II Supernovae, the explicit conditions for the wind occurrence and nucleosynthesis prescriptions. Section 3 is devoted to the presentation of the results for various computed models. Section 4 deals with the comparison of present results with the ones obtained from previous models, to underline reciprocal advantages and caveats. In Section 5 we discuss the solid aspects of the present study and the improvements we plan to introduce maintainig the multiphase scheme.

2. THE MODEL

This is the first work aimed to model the evolution of elliptical galaxies with the multiphase approach which gave interesting results for spiral galaxies and, in particular, for the solar neighbourhood (FMPP). The simultaneous study of different phases of ISM and of chemical evolution, together with the non-linear mathematical description, allows a self-consistent calculation of the most meaningful physical quantities. Such a model allows to introduce easily in the computation other physical important processes (for example, heating of gas due to energy released by supernovae). We follow the physical and computational scenario used in FMPP; we remind the reader to this paper for details.

2.1 Interactions of populations

We consider two zones inside the galaxy: an "inner" region in the galactic core, whose stars are bound in orbits inside 1.5 kpc from the center (Bertin, private communication) and an "outer" region, including the whole galactic volume, to which we ascribe all the stars whose orbits extend out of the internal core. In this way we partially overcome the absence of an hydrodynamic description and may investigate the presence of radial gradients.

The system evolves as a closed box, except for periods in which a galactic wind occurs and the galaxy loses a part of its gas. Initial conditions are: homogeneus sphere of gas of mass $M_G(0)$ and standard Big Bang chemical composition (Y=0.24, Z=0.0). The total mass of the system is $M_{tot}(t) = M_G(t) + M_{out}(t)$ that is the sum of matter still present inside the galaxy and mass expelled during episodes of galactic wind. We also explored cases with a dark halo which doesn't partecipate to the processes of star formation, but contributes to the galactic binding energy.

Different phases of aggregation of matter are considered, as in Ferrini & Galli (1988):

1. ISM, where we distinguish two components, diffuse gas and clouds.

2. Stars, where we distinguish two sectors: the stars able to induce further star formation (more massive than $4\,M_\odot$) and the stars that will not trigger the ISM to condense

further into protostars. Both types contribute in different ways, according to initial mass, to the chemical enrichment of ISM, through restitution of matter to the diffuse phase at the end of their life. The lifetimes and the chemical elements released from Types Ia, Ib and II supernovae are also included.

3. Stellar remnants, the endpoints of star evolution, that will act as a matter sink, removing mass from chemical evolution.

These populations are kept distinguished for both regions of the galaxy.

The evolution of the system is determined by the interactions between these various phases; the main physical processes we consider are star formation from clouds (including cloud–cloud collisions and massive stars–induced formation); cloud formation from diffuse gas; restitution of processed material from dying stars to diffuse ISM; gravitational accumulation of gas from the external galactic region to the internal one; heating of the gas from energy released by supernovae; disruption of clouds for cloud–cloud collisions, for cloud–massive star interactions and for supernovae heating.

At variance with the other authors, all these processes are efficient during the whole evolution, the relative importance of these processes and their self–regulating properties determine the mass fraction of each phase and the chemical enrichment.

2.2 Supernovae

The three observed types of supernovae (Ia, Ib and II) have been taken into account. They play a fundamental role in the model: they contribute to the chemical enrichment (with products of nucleosynthesis and times of explosion different from a type to the other) and they heat the surrounding gas, slowing down the process of cloud formation and, in case, expelling the diffuse gas from the galaxy.

The theorical scenario adopted for the three types is the following:

– *Type II supernovae*: they originate from single massive stars with mass $m > 8\,M_{\odot}$, ending their life as compact objects (neutron stars or black holes), evolving rapidly with $\tau(m) < 10^7$yr.

– *Type Ia supernovae*: they are assumed to originate from binary systems as described

by Iben and Tutukov (1984) for merging of two degenerate CO stars, after two phases of common envelope, during which they both lose the hydrogen envelope. The merging takes place in a time depending on the loss of energy of the system due to gravitational wave radiation (*gwr*) (Landau & Lifchitz, 1970):

$$\tau_{gwr} = 1.48 \cdot \frac{10^8 A^4}{M_1 M_2 (M_1 + M_2)}$$

where A is the original separation of the binary system expressed in $R_\odot$, M_1, M_2 the masses of the two components in $M_\odot$ and τ_{gwr} in years. The explosion occurs because in the merging the limit Chandrasekhar mass is exceeded; $0.6\, M_\odot$ of iron are expelled and no remnant is left.

The lifetime of such a system is then given by

$$T_{sn}(A\,,\,M) = \tau_{gwr}(A) + T_{ev}(M)$$

where T_{ev} is the quiescent evolutionary lifetime of the less massive star of the system; it results as the sum of the secondary lifetime and of the time necessary for merging, it is then determined by the two masses and the original separation.

– *Type Ib supernovae*: they are believed to originate from binary systems, whose initial masses and separation leave at the end a merging system of a degenerate CO dwarf and a central He burning star (Iben *et al.* (1987)).

The difference between the two types of supernovae I is due in this scenario to the different initial conditions (masses and separation) of a binary system; the Ia SNs have a wide range of times of explosion comparable to the Hubble time and can then be found also after 10^{11} years from the last episode of star formation. The Ib supernovae, on the contrary, are short-living systems (10^9 years) and their chemical contribution is rather different.

For these reasons they have to be included separately in the study of a galactic system such as ellipticals, which shows large differences in the SFR during the evolution.

We computed the Ia and Ib rates as described in Tornambè (1989). In the total mass range $2 - 14\, M_\odot$ and initial separation $10 - 10^4 R_\odot$, the cumulative number n of

exploding systems after a time t from the episode of star formation is shown in Fig.1, normalizing to 1 the number of binary systems in the right range of masses and separations. It has been computed by Tornambè, according to our choice of IMF and distribution of the ratio between the primary and the secondary mass, following the aforesaid theorical prescriptions.

It must be stressed that the adopted models for Ia and Ib are two among various possible binary systems leading to explosion. Studies of stellar evolution (Tornambè 1989) explored the theoretical expectations for other types of SNs progenitors, for which there are not at the moment observational clues. The model of Ia we adopted has been tested by MT, giving too low present rates compared to observations; this could be explained if one assume that the adopted model is only one of the possible binary combinations leading to explosion, as suggested by theory.

We compared these results with the rates derived according to Greggio & Renzini (1990) which assume the model of Whelan & Iben (1973), as adopted also by FMPP. Following those prescriptions we obtained higher present rates for Ia in ellipticals, in better agreement with observations.

We chose the theoretical scenario given by Tornambè, since it assumes physical prescriptions that seem to be favoured and it is the only one that allows a distinction between the two types of SN I. To test the influence of different SN I rates adopted, we computed our models using both the rates given by Tornambè and introducing, as suggested by Tornambè (private communication), a parameter able to reproduce the observed actual rates: such a parameter should take in account the other possible theoretical *channels* of binary systems that could lead to SN esplosion.

Cox (1972) studied the evolution of a supernova remnant and determined the evolution of the thermal and kinetic energy released by each type of SN up the time t after the explosion

$$E_{out} = \begin{cases} 0.52 & \text{for } t = t_c \\ 1 - 0.44 \cdot (t_c/t)^{0.8} \cdot (1 - 0.41 \cdot (t_c/t)^{0.41}) - 0.22 \cdot (t_c/t)^{0.8} & \text{for } t > t_c \end{cases}$$

if the initial energy of the remnant is 1, being t_c the characteristic cooling time of the shell surrounding the remnant, taken from us $5.3 \cdot 10^4$ years. The total thermal energy of the gas can be computed at each moment as

$$E_{th}(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^{t^*} R(t')\epsilon(t^* - t')\, dt' dt^*$$

Under the assumption that this description is valid for any type of SN, $R(t')$ is the total rate of SNs at the time t' and $\epsilon(t)$ is the energy per unit of time released from the SN remnant after a time t from the esplosion. Being $t^* - t' = \tau$,

$$E_{th}(t) = \int_0^t \left[\int_0^{t^*} R(t^* - \tau)\epsilon(\tau)\, d\tau \right] dt^*$$

We evaluate the integral with a similar procedure to the one described in FMPP (Appendix).

2.3 Gas heating and wind

The energy released from the SNs to the gas has two effects:

i) causes restitution of matter from the phase of cold ISM (clouds) to the diffuse gas;

ii) when the thermal energy exceed the binding energy of the gas, this can be expelled from the host galaxy.

The first effect can be taken into account introducing L, ratio between the total thermal energy of gas per unit volume and density of binding energy of single cloud, computed from the virial condition: $\Omega = 2K = 2 \cdot 3/2 \cdot nk_BT$ where K and Ω are the thermal and binding energy of the cloud per unit volume, n is the number density of molecules, k_B is the Boltzmann constant and T is the cloud temperature. For typical cloud values $n = 10^3 \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ and $T = 20\, K$, we obtain

$$L(t) = E_{th}(t)/1.2 \cdot 10^8$$

being E_{th} expressed in units of 10^{51} ergs.

The second effect is switched on at the moment the condition

$$E_{th} \geq E_B \tag{1}$$

is satisfied, i.e. when the thermal energy of gas exceeds its binding energy. This one depends on the total galactic mass and on the gas mass (Saito, 1979):

$$E_{B_{gas}} = 16.43 \cdot 10^8 (M_G)^{1.45} \cdot \frac{M_{gas}}{M_G} \left(2 - \frac{M_{gas}}{M_G} \right)$$

$E_{B_{gas}}$ is expressed in units of 10^{51} ergs; the galactic mass M_G and the gas mass M_{gas} are in units of 10^{12} $M_\odot$. In presence of dark matter, M_G represents the sum of the luminous and dark matter, if this is distributed like the luminous one.

The gas is assumed to be lost from the galaxy at a decreasing exponential rate and it will continue to be expelled until the condition (1) is satisfied, but at any moment the restoration of diffuse gas from evolving stars is still computed.

When the mass of the restored gas makes the binding energy of the diffuse ISM higher than the thermal energy, the wind interrupts and a phase of "quiescent" evolution follows.

Depending on the rates of SNs and the amount of gas released from evolving stars, other episodes of wind can occur.

2.4 Nucleosynthesis prescriptions

Abundance evolution of 15 elements or isotopes is computed: H, D, ^{3}He, ^{4}He, ^{12}C, ^{13}C, ^{16}O, ^{14}N, ^{20}Ne, ^{24}Mg, ^{28}Si, ^{32}S, ^{40}Ca , ^{56}Fe and neutron-rich isotopes synthesized from ^{12}C, ^{13}C, ^{14}N and ^{16}O.

Starting from an initial composition of 24% ^{4}He and the remaining hydrogen, the evolution of each element is followed using the *production matrices* $Q_{ij}(m)$, first introduced by Talbot & Arnett (1973), that give the fraction of the mass of an element j initially present in a star of mass m that is transformed in element i and ejected. A detailed description of the way these matrices are computed and of the nucleosynthesis prescriptions is given in FMPP.

With respect to their elements production, the only difference is in the nucleosynthesis of SN I: we assume for SN Ia the prescriptions given by Nomoto *et al.* (1984) and for SN Ib by Branch & Nomoto (1986). The Type Ia SN's are in this way assumed to produce $0.6\,M_\odot$ of iron, together with smaller quantities of other heavy elements (C, O, Ne, Mg, Si,

Ca), and the Ib's expel $0.3\,M_\odot$ of iron and the rest as ^{4}He; both supernovae are assumed to leave no remnant.

2.5 The equations

According to the different phases described in section 2.1, we define

$$g = \frac{M_{\rm gas}}{M_{\rm tot}}, \qquad c = \frac{M_{\rm clouds}}{M_{\rm tot}}$$
$$s = \frac{M_{\rm stars}}{M_{\rm tot}}, \qquad r = \frac{M_{\rm remnants}}{M_{\rm tot}}$$

The system of equations we consider is:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{ds_{1\rm E}}{dt} &= H_1 c_{\rm E}^2 + a_1 c_{\rm E} s_{2\rm E} - D_{1\rm E} \\
\frac{ds_{2\rm E}}{dt} &= H_2 c_{\rm E}^2 + a_2 c_{\rm E} s_{2\rm E} - D_{2\rm E} \\
\frac{dg_{\rm E}}{dt} &= -\mu(1-L) g_{\rm E}^n + a' c_{\rm E} s_{2\rm E} + H' c_{\rm E}^2 - f g_{\rm E} + W_{\rm E} \\
\frac{dc_{\rm E}}{dt} &= \mu(1-L) g_{\rm E}^n - (a_1 + a_2 + a')\, c_{\rm E} s_{2\rm E} - (H_1 + H_2 + H')\, c_{\rm E}^2 \\
\frac{ds_{1\rm I}}{dt} &= H_1 c_{\rm I}^2 + a_1 c_{\rm I} s_{2\rm I} - D_{1\rm I} \\
\frac{ds_{2\rm I}}{dt} &= H_2 c_{\rm I}^2 + a_2 c_{\rm I} s_{2\rm I} - D_{2\rm I} \\
\frac{dg_{\rm I}}{dt} &= -\mu(1-L) g_{\rm I}^n + a' c_{\rm I} s_{2\rm I} + H' c_{\rm I}^2 + f g_{\rm E} + W_{\rm I} \\
\frac{dc_{\rm I}}{dt} &= \mu(1-L) g_{\rm I}^n - (a_1 + a_2 + a')\, c_{\rm I} s_{2\rm I} - (H_1 + H_2 + H')\, c_{\rm I}^2 \\
\frac{dr_{\rm E}}{dt} &= D_{1\rm E} + D_{2\rm E} - W_{\rm E} \\
\frac{dr_{\rm I}}{dt} &= D_{1\rm I} + D_{2\rm I} - W_{\rm I} \\
\frac{dX_{i\rm E}}{dt} &= (W_{i\rm E} - X_{i\rm E} W_{\rm E})/(g_{\rm E} + c_{\rm E}) \\
\frac{dX_{i\rm I}}{dt} &= (W_{i\rm I} - X_{i\rm I} W_{\rm I} + f g_{\rm E}(X_{i\rm E} - X_{i\rm I}))/(g_{\rm I} + c_{\rm I})
\end{aligned}$$

The subscripts E, I denote external and internal quantities. In the s phase we distinguish two classes, corresponding to different stellar mass ranges:

$$s_1 : \quad m_{\rm min} < m \leq m^*$$

$$s_2 : \quad m^* < m \leq m_{\rm max}$$

because only s_2 (massive) stars interact with clouds inducing star formation; $m_{\rm min}$, m^*, $m_{\rm max}$ are estimated to be $0.1\,M_\odot$, $4\,M_\odot$, $100\,M_\odot$ respectively.

X_i are the abundances by mass of the fifteen chemical elements.

The processes and the related coefficients considered are:

1. Star formation from cloud–cloud collisions: $H_{1,2}\,c^2_{\rm E,I}$

2. Induced star formation, due to the interactions between massive stars and clouds: $a_{1,2}\,c_{\rm E,I}s_{\rm 2E,I}$

3. Cloud formation from the diffuse gas (inhibited from the supernovae driven gas heating) : $\mu(1-L)g^n_{\rm E,I}$

4. Diffuse gas restitution from cloud–cloud collisions: $H'\,c^2_{\rm E,I}$

5. Diffuse gas restitution from induced star formation: $a'\,c_{\rm E,I}s_{\rm 2E,I}$

6. Enriched diffuse gas restitution from evolving stars: $W_{\rm E,I}$

7. Infall of matter from external to internal zone from gravitational accumulation: $fg_{\rm E}$

The determination of the coefficient L has already been described in Sect. 2.3; the rate coefficients expressing the microphysics of the process have been evaluated as the ratio of the efficiency to the typical timescale of the physical process considered, as described in FMPP. In the case of ellipticals, it has been considered that velocity dispersions are higher by factor 10 than the ones observed in the solar neighbourhood, and this reflects on the typical timescale of clouds collisions ($H_{1,2}$ ten times higher than in the case of spiral galaxies). The coefficients are in this way evaluated, in a similar way to what has been done for spirals, from the observations and from the detailed theory of star formation and evolution. The parameter f, connected with the accumulation of gas towards the center, (the only true free parameter in the case of spirals), has been estimated considering the higher galactic masses of ellipticals and requiring that the observed mass ratio between the external and the internal regions has to be reproduced.

The Star Formation Rate determined self-consistently in any phase of the evolution

is:

$$\psi_{\rm E}(t) = (H_1 + H_2)\, c_{\rm E}^2 + (a_1 + a_2)\, c_{\rm E} s_{\rm 2E}$$

$$\psi_{\rm I}(t) = (H_1 + H_2)\, c_{\rm I}^2 + (a_1 + a_2)\, c_{\rm I} s_{\rm 2I}$$

Here the reader can see one of the fundamental differences among our model and AY, MT, AG models: the SFR is the principal consequence of the evolution of the system and not an "a priori" choice. All these authors consider the SFR linearly proportional to the amount of total (AY, AG) or surface density (MT) gas. They assume the same expression for the efficiency of SF: $\nu \propto M_G(0)^{-0.115}$, so an efficiency which decreases with the total galactic mass, at variance with the results of Tinsley & Larson (1979) which explained the mass-metallicity relation as due to the increasing efficiency of SF with total galactic mass.

The system of coupled non–linear differential equations is valid when the condition for a wind doesn't occur. Any time equation (1) is verified, a term is added to the equation of the gas phases in both zones, introducing a loss of gas from the galaxy at a decreasing exponential rate $\tau_i = 1/k_i$:

$$\frac{dg_{\rm E}}{dt} = -\mu(1-L) g_{\rm E}^n + a' c_{\rm E} s_{\rm 2E} + H' c_{\rm E}^2 - f g_{\rm E} + W_{\rm E} - k_1 g_{\rm E}$$

$$\frac{dg_{\rm I}}{dt} = -\mu(1-L) g_{\rm I}^n + a' c_{\rm I} s_{\rm 2I} + H' c_{\rm I}^2 + f g_{\rm E} + W_{\rm I} - k_2 g_{\rm I}$$

The contribution of each star depends on the initial mass and on the characteristics of the binary system to which it belongs, in the case of SNs I. The Initial Mass Function (IMF) we assume is based on the studies of Ferrini, Palla & Penco (1990) on the fragmentation of molecular clouds in the solar neighborhood and the adopted expression (Ferrini, 1991b) is considered valid in any zone of the galaxy and at any time:

$$\varphi(m) = 2.01\, m^{-.52}\, 10^{-[2.07(\log m)^2 + 1.92 \log m + 0.73]^{1/2}}$$

For a detailed description of the mass distribution in the binary systems we refer to FMPP.

The death rates $D_{\rm 1E}$, $D_{\rm 2E}$, $D_{\rm 1I}$, $D_{\rm 2I}$ represent the fraction of mass that leaves the star phase at the time t in the two zones and for low-mass and massive stars:

$$D_{1E,I}(t) = \int_{m(t)}^{m^*} \psi_{E,I}(t - \tau_m) \varphi(m) dm$$

$$D_{2E,I}(t) = \int_{m^*}^{m_{max}} \psi_{E,I}(t-\tau_m)\varphi(m)dm$$

The mass m_{max} is the maximum stellar mass and it is taken $100\,M_\odot$; $m(t)$ is the star mass for which the lifetime $\tau(m)$ is equal to t.

The restitution rates $W_{iE,I}(t)$ give the fraction of mass expelled into the ISM at the time t as element i (processed or unprocessed), in the two zones. They are the fundamental terms to compute the gas mass and chemical composition. They can be written as

$$W_{i;\mathrm{E,I}}(t) = \int_{m_{\min}}^{m_{\max}} \left[\sum_j \tilde{Q}_{ij}(m) X_{j;\mathrm{E,I}}(t-\tau_m)\right] \psi_{\mathrm{E,I}}(t-\tau_m)\, dm \tag{2}$$

The term $\tilde{Q}_{ij}$ includes the contribution from quiescently evolving stars, SNs II, Ia and Ib and can be explicitely introduced in eq. (2)

$$\begin{aligned} W_{i;\mathrm{E,I}}(t) = \int_{m(t)}^{m_{\max}} \Bigg\{ & \left[\sum_j Q_{ij}(m)\ X_{j;\mathrm{E,I}}(t-\tau_m)\right] \psi_{\mathrm{E,I}}(t-\tau_m)\,\varphi(m) + \\ & + \left[\sum_j Q_{ij}^{\mathrm{SNIa}}\ X_{j;\mathrm{E,I}}(t-\tau_m)\right] \psi_{\mathrm{E,I}}(t-\tau_m)\ \cdot 1.4 \cdot N_a(m) + \\ & + \left[\sum_j Q_{ij}^{\mathrm{SNIb}}\ X_{j;\mathrm{E,I}}(t-\tau_m)\right] \psi_{\mathrm{E,I}}(t-\tau_m)\ \cdot 1.4 \cdot N_b(m) \Bigg\}\, dm \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right side shows the contribute of the quiescent evolving stars and of SNs II; the second and the third term indicate respectively the SNs Ia and Ib contributes: the matrices $Q^{\mathrm{SNIa,SNIb}}$ give the restituted elements from the two types of SNs. These matrices do not depend on the star mass, being in any case $1.4\,M_\odot$ the mass involved. $N_{a,b}(m)$ represents the number of SNa events occurring when $1\,M_\odot$ of gas is transformed into stars at the time $\tau(m)$. This is $N_{a,b}(m) = \eta n'(\tau_m)$ where $n'(t) = \frac{dn}{dt}$, number of SNs exploding after a time t after the formation of the progenitor system. The delay between the star formation and the release of chemical elements to the ISM is taken into account for quiescent and exploding stellar systems; for normally evolving stars and for SNs II this

time is taken equal to the main sequence permanence time $\tau(m)$, for which we assume (Burkert & Hensler, 1987):

$$\tau_m = \begin{cases} 8.0 \times m^{-2.8} & \text{Gyr} \quad \text{for} \quad m \leq 10.0\, M_\odot \\ 0.05 \times m^{-0.6} & \text{Gyr} \quad \text{for} \quad m > 10.0\, M_\odot. \end{cases}$$

Finally, the supernovae rates are evaluated as follows:

$$R_{II}(t) = \int_{8\ M_\odot}^{m_{max}} \frac{\varphi'(m)}{m} \psi_{\mathrm{E,I}}(t - \tau_m)\, dm$$

and

$$R_{Ia,Ib}(t) = \eta \int_0^t \psi_{\mathrm{E,I}}(t') n'_{Ia,Ib}(t - t') dt'$$

where $\varphi'(m)$ is the IMF including both single stars and primary massive stars belonging to bynary systems that give rise to SN I events (see FMPP Appendix).

In the rate of SNIa,b the coefficients η represents the number of bynary systems in the range of total mass $2 < M < 14\ M_\odot$ and initial separation $10 < A < 10^4 R_\odot$ for $1\ M_\odot$ becoming star. This has been deduced from observations, considering that about half of the formed stars are binary systems and that the observed separation distribution of binary systems is $A \propto \log A$ (Popova *et al.* 1982).

3. RESULTS

Observations concerning elliptical galaxies are not as rich as the ones concerning the solar neighbourhood, for which we have a detailed knowledge of stellar populations, of gaseous phases, of abundances both in stars and in gas. Our effort to model the Milky Way was devoted mainly to the local region, where many constraints must be considered. Elliptical galaxies are in this respect a completely different framework: we can appreciate here the fact that multiphase models, starting from the knowledge of physical interactions on a limited scale, allow to infer large scale properties and global trends as observed in ellipticals; this is a non–trivial result, at variance with previous models who assumed in principle the large scale relations between the interesting quantities. The self–regulating nature of galactic evolution well considered in the multiphase approach is the support of our physical and mathematical structure.

In Table 1 we show the results of some selected models; model A is taken as the standard reference model and corresponds to a galactic system of mass $M_G(0) = 10^{12}\, M_\odot$ without dark matter and with a high efficiency of gas loss ($k = 1000$ corrisponding to a timescale $\tau = 10^4$ years). Models B and C show the results in the case of less important episodes of galactic winds (due to a lower gas loss efficiency k and to a lower SNs Ia rates respectively). The last three models refer to galactic systems with different initial mass or in presence of dark matter. Masses are in units of $M_\odot$ while the third column shows the coefficient k, inverse of the gas espulsion timescale τ expressed in 10^7 years.

The forth column of Tab.1 lists the time of the first galactic wind in Gyr, in the fifth column M_{out} is the mass fraction lost for all the occurring winds, the sixth column shows the amount of iron expelled during the whole evolution in $10^8\, M_\odot$, following columns report the present SFR ($M_\odot\,\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$); the time, in Gyr, of the last wind; the present rate (SNU) of SN Ia and the mass fraction related to the initial total mass at the end of evolution (after 16 Gyr) in the phase of gas, clouds, living stars and remnants in the whole galaxy.

3.1 Star formation and galactic winds

The Star Formation Rate during the first 2 Gyr for the standard model A is shown in Fig.2. The maximum SFR occurs 10^8 years after galactic formation and amounts to $1600\, M_\odot \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, in agreement with the maximum SFR invoked by Sandage (1986) to explain the different morphological types along the Hubble sequence.

The SFR remains higher than $1\, M_\odot \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ for the first 2.5 Gyr.

As shown in Tab.1, the present SFR strongly depends on the efficiency of galactic winds: the too low SNs Ia present rate obtained using original Tornambè calculations (model C) gives a quite high SFR compared to the observed one ($0.1 - 1\ M_\odot \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, Thronson & Bally, 1987). The comparison with the observed SFR in normal ellipticals put a constraint also on the efficiency of the gas loss: values of k giving mass loss timescales shorter than $10^4 - 10^5$ years give a too high SFR compared to observations (model B).

While the ratio between internal and external SFR during the first phases of the evolution is 10^{-5}, the two present values are comparable and this fact is due to the gas

accumulation towards the center.

The 90 % of star formation takes place during the first Gyr, but the evolution of these systems is much different from a passively evolving galaxy: the amount of gas restored from evolving stars and the later consequent SFR depend in fact on the following episodes of wind. The present SFR in solar masses per year increases with the final galactic mass (in units of $10^9\ M_\odot$) as:

$$SFR = 0.073 \cdot (\log M_f)^2 - 0.129 \cdot \log M_f + 0.067$$

In the case of the model A, the galaxy remains under wind conditions between 0.35 and 1.36 Gyr and later winds also occur. While the first episodes are driven by SN II, the smaller but still not null amount of SN Ia later explosions is responsible for subsequent gas loss.

Less massive galaxies are subjected to sooner and more majestic mass losses through winds: from Tab.1 is evident that this does not correspond to a higher iron enrichment of the intragalactic cluster medium (IGM) from less massive galaxies. Massive systems expell their gas at later times and their ISM had, in this way, time to be enriched from SN I explosions, whose timescales are in average longer than the SN II ones, responsible for the wind.

The loss of heavy elements from ellipticals can give a contribution to the IGM, where high quantities of iron have been observed (Vigroux, 1977; de Young, 1978; White, 1991; Arnaud *et al* , 1992 and references therein): there is, in fact, evidence that the iron mass in the IGM is directly correlated with the stellar mass in the early type galaxies of the cluster, as well as the total IGM gas mass.

It is possible to recognize a regular behaviour of the winds with the initial galactic mass. Higher is the mass, later comes the first wind, lower is the fraction of expelled mass, higher is the amount of heavy elements in the removed gas. At the end of evolution, the smaller galaxies are always in a wind phase, while the more massive ones are in a regime of accretion towards the center, even thought the SN I rates are higher for more massive galaxies. Naturally the total number of winds is anti–correlated to the initial galactic mass.

The amount of iron and oxygen expelled in total increases with the final galactic mass

as:

$$\log M_{Fe} = -0.51 \cdot (\log M_f)^2 + 2.97 \cdot \log M_f - 4.08$$

where both are expressed in units of 10^9 $M_\odot$. The same relation for the oxygen is:

$$\log M_O = -0.56 \cdot (\log M_f)^2 + 3.19 \cdot \log M_f - 4.24$$

.

3.2 Supernovae

The frequency of supernovae explosions has been found from observations for different morphological galactic types; Cappellaro & Turatto (1988) derived a rate of 0.09 SNU (number of supernovae per $10^{10}\,L_\odot$ blue galactic luminosities per 100 years) for a sample of ellipticals from the Second Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies (de Vaucouleurs *et al.*, 1976), adopting a value of $H_0 = 50$ km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}. A more recent analysis of Turatto e Cappellaro (private communication), found a rate of 0.07 SNU for ellipticals from the Nearby Galaxies Catalog (Tully, 1988) and of 0.1 SNU for the Third Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies (de Vaucouleurs *et al.*, 1991), with a value of $H_0 = 75$ km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1} (new results are lower by a factor 3 from the old ones).

Different rates are found by Evans *et al.*(1989): $0.32\,h^2 = 0.18$ SNU if $h = 75$ in a sample of early type galaxies that doesn't distinguish between E and S0. Adopting a ratio between the frequencies in E and in S0 as Cappellaro & Turatto (1988) found, this corresponds to 0.05 SNU for ellipticals.

Van den Bergh & Tammann (1991), applying some corrections to Evans rates, found for E+S0 the value $0.98\,h^2$ SNU, that for $h = 75$ and the ratio between E and S0 rates already mentioned, gives 0.15 SNU for ellipticals.

The low number of supernovae observed (3 in ellipticals for RC3 and 1 for the Tully Catalogue) and the strong dependence on the assumptions and on the Catalogue suggest that these rates are to be taken only as indicative, before an extensive systematic survey is completed. Moreover, the classification of early-type galaxies can often be ambiguous and this could affect the estimated rates.

The computed present rate of SNIa of column 9 in Tab.1 for most of the models is in good agreement with the observational estimates. To convert from internal units to SNU we have used a value of $M/L = 8$, as derived from dynamics studies (David, Forman & Jones, 1991).

The rates of SN II and Ib follow the SFR: they reach the maximum value just before the onset of the first wind (both reaching 2.5 SNU) and drop fast to low values until they become negligible after 16 Gyr compared to the SN Ia present rate. The SNIa reach their maximum almost at the same time the other two types do, but decrease more slower and remain the only SNs observable at present time.

From Tab.1, the model with higher SNIa present rate is model B, the one with reduced gas loss efficiency (low k), because of the higher SFR in later times; model C, adopting rates according to Tornambè without correction to reproduce the same rates given from the model by Greggio & Renzini, shows too few SNIa's at the present time.

The amount of Type Ia SNs (in SNU) at the present time increases with the final galactic mass (in solar masses) according to the relation:

$$R_{SNIa} = 0.006 \cdot (\log M_f)^2 - 0.008 \cdot \log M_f + 0.030$$

3.3 Gas, clouds, stars and remnants: galactic morphology

The mass fraction in the different phases (gas, clouds, stars and remnants) is shown in Fig.3 and Fig.4 for the two regions. The present mass fractions are presented in Tab.1; the amount of warm diffuse matter found with our models is in good agreement with the recent observations of $10^8 - 10^{11}\ M_\odot$ of hot X-ray emitting gas (Ciotti *et al.* 1991 and references therein), that appear a common phenomena in luminous ellipticals. Recent detection of HI and first measurements of molecular species show that a not negligible fraction of ellipticals have cold ISM in a wide range of masses (Schweizer, 1987).

In some cases there is evidence that the cold ISM is the result of capture (Sage & Galletta, 1992), while the internal component seems to be the hot X-ray gas, whose luminosity often correlates with general galactic properties. Anyway, the sometimes ambiguous

classification of early type galaxies could be misleading in understanding the real cold component of the ISM in ellipticals.

The amount of present total diffuse matter (gas + clouds) increases with the final galactic mass according to the relation:

$$\log M_{g+c} = 0.80 \cdot \log M_f - 1.40$$

where the masses are expressed in 10^9 $M_\odot$.

This trend is confirmed from observations: as shown by Fabbiano *et al.* (1992) in their catalog of galaxies observed in the soft X-ray band with the *Einstein Observatory* , the X-ray emission of early type galaxies increases with the total B luminosity: $\log L_X = 1.8 \log L_B + 21.5$, where L_X is expressed in erg s^{-1} and L_B in solar luminosities. From these data is possible to derive the X-ray gas mass according to the relation given by Canizares *et al.* (1987): $\log M_X = 0.5 \log L_X(watts) + 1.2 \log(L_B/L_\odot) - 20.5$ ($M_X$in solar masses) and transforming into our units ($M/L_B = 10$) we obtain the relation between hot gas and galactic masses as derived from observations (in units of $10^9 M_\odot$:

$$\log M_X = 2.1 \log M_{gal} - 5.45.$$

The linear correlation we found agrees with the trend deduced from observations, while the sample of early galaxies in which cold ISM is observed is still too small to allow a quantitative investigation for the total amount of diffuse matter (Roberts *et al.* 1991).

3.4 Chemical evolution

Detailed chemical evolution of the 15 species studied has been computed: the enrichment in ellipticals appears to be a faster process than in spirals, due to the high efficiency of star formation of the early phases. Not only these systems seem to be more efficient in producing heavy elements, they also do it with relative abundances different from our galaxy and, in general, expected spirals. This is evident from the comparison between Fig.5 and Fig.6 taken from FMPP. This effect is determined from the higher ratio between SN II (producing mostly O and Mg) and SN I (Fe productors) during the early evolution of ellipticals, while the opposite happens after the first wind.

We have also calculated the total metal content Z, sum of all elements heavier than ^{4}He: the result is shown for our standard model in Fig.7.

The monotonic increasing metal content with age found for the Milky Way (FMPP and Fig.8), does not appear in ellipticals: after the onset of the first galactic winds, typically around 1 Gyr from galactic formation, the metal content increases for the restitution of intermediate massive stars and decreases in the following evolution for the dilution from chemical restitution of less massive stars.

This phenomenon is not present in spirals, where the restituted matter is diluted from the primordial metal-poor diffuse matter, present in high quantities, not swept–away from winds and not too much consumed from star formation.

Quantitative chemical comparison with observations are quite dearing to do. Most of the observations about chemical elements in ellipticals are found between the index Mg_2 and other fundamental characteristics, such as luminosity, central velocity dispersions ecc. Although these relations are frequently studied, they cannot be easily understood in terms of a chemical evolutionary hystory.

The calibration of Mg_2 against [Fe/H] normally used (Burstein, 1979), based on globular clusters observations and stellar models with solar relative abundances, is expected to fail in reproducing the relation existing in ellipticals. Furthermore, the observed spectral indices are produced from the contribution of all stars, averaged with their luminosities.

Anyway, two observed trends can be singled out, even though it is difficoult to transform them in chemical abundances of single star generations:

a) the colour-magnitude relation: the average galactic metal content increases with the total galactic mass. Yet, recent observations have found high mass ellipticals with extraordinarily low metal index Mg_2 (Bertola *et al.* , 1992).

b) the radial metal gradient: as in spirals, more internal regions appear more metal rich (Pagel & Edmunds, 1981).

A following paper, presenting the spectrophotometric evolution computed according to our model, will analyse these two aspects.

4. COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS MODELS

In order to analyse some fundamental differences between ellipticals and spiral galaxies, we compare our results with the standard model of FMPP for the solar neighbourhood. Both models use the same multiphase approach and a detailed chemical description.

Our results will be compared also with the models of Matteucci & Tornambè (MT), Arimoto & Yoshi (AY) and David, Forman & Jones (DFJ).

4.1 Model for spiral galaxies

The star formation and, consequently, chemical histories of spirals and ellipticals are definitely different. The SFR for our Galaxy as computed by FMPP in their standard model shows a maximum SFR of $\sim 8\ M_\odot$ both for halo and galactic disk, to be compared with the SFR in Fig.2 of $\sim 1600\ M_\odot$ and $\sim 23\ M_\odot$ respectively for external and internal regions. In both cases, the accreting regions (internal for ellipticals and disk for spirals) show a maximum delayed with respect to the outer zone; the SFR is kept non-null from the accretion of gas, maintaining a value of $1\ M_\odot$ in the present galactic disk and of about a tenth at the center of a massive elliptical.

Fig.7 and 8 show the total metal content in the ISM (percentual of mass in elements heavier than ^{4}He) for our Galaxy and for model A. Due to the high SFR in ellipticals, the enrichment of ISM from restitution of matter from evolving star has a timescale much shorter than in solar neighbourhood: in model A a solar metallicity (corresponding to 10 Gyr in the spiral model) is reached in the external region within 1 Gyr from galaxy formation. The metallicity of an elliptical is *besides this very short first evolutionary phase, always super-solar;* moreover, both for the Milky Way and ellipticals, the accreting region (disk or internal) has higher present metallicities, due to the gravitational deposit towards the center of gas enriched from stars evolving in the outer zone; while in the solar neighbourhood the metallicity of the disk becomes higher than in the halo soon after the galactic formation (within half Gyr), the outer zone in ellipticals remains more metal-rich during a longer period (6 Gyr for model A).

Ellipticals are systems where the chemical enrichment is accelerated with respect to spirals: considering the chemical different histories, it appears difficult to explain the high metallicities observed in ellipticals if they originate from merging of spirals, at whichever phase of their evolution. The high initial SFR that can explain the morphological appearance of these systems is able, instead, to reproduce such metallicities.

Fig.7 shows a peculiar phenomenon due to the existence in ellipticals of galactic winds: while in our galaxy observations and models predict a monotonic growth of the metallicity with time, after the onset of the first episodes of galactic winds, Z increases quickly to very high values (maximum 2.5 times solar), because of the evolution of massive stars whose processed elements do not mix with a primordial metal-poor ISM. In the following evolution, less massive stars evolve and release matter to the diffuse phase, and the metal content Z decreases.

Another difference between the chemical enrichment in our Galaxy and in ellipticals is evident from Fig.9. In both cases, oxygen is predominant on iron during the early phases, because of the initial SNs II rate. The following evolution is faster in ellipticals also in this case: the iron produced by SNs I and the absence of SNs II (oxygen producers) after the first Gyr produce the situation showed in Fig.5: the ratio [Fe/H] reaches values higher than solar before the end of the first Gyr, while the great quantity of oxygen produced by the numerous initial SNs II has been expelled with the winds and the ratio [O/H] in the ISM attains much lower values than in our Galaxy (-1.2 dex in the external region against the present -0.1 dex of the solar neighbourhood).

This different contribution of iron and oxygen in systems of various Hubble type is evident in Fig.9 (a,b), where [O/Fe] versus [Fe/H] is plotted for the external region of model A and the galactic disk as computed by FMPP. The situation in the internal region takes more time to settle because of the enrichment from the external zone.

Finally, also the trend of the helium content with increasing metallicity is different in spirals from ellipticals: for the solar neighbourhood, FMPP found a monotonic growht of ^{4}He with Z and in all the literature the helium enrichment parameter $\frac{\delta Y}{\delta Z}$ is considered to be zero or a positive constant value. On the contrary, in ellipticals, the trend of helium versus Z is not monotonic, because of the previously described chemical behaviour. This second

phenomenon must be taken into account in population and spectroscopic studies, because the ratio Y/Z can change drastically the stellar properties considered (*i.e.* the latest stages of the evolution of low mass stars, fundamental to understanding the progenitors of the UV rising branch observed in ellipticals, change, for a given age and metallicity, with the precise trend of the helium content with metallicity (Greggio & Renzini, 1990)).

4.2 Previous models of elliptical galaxies

The same general criticism presented in FMPP with respect to other spiral galaxies evolutionary models can be extended to elliptical models. A major difference between our approach and previous models of ellipticals is the self–consistent treatment of star formation process. This is a unique feature of the multiphase model, where we are not forced to choose a priori a SF history, which obviously forces the I and II SNs production, irrispectively of the evolution of the system. This approach allows us to consider the star formation, although drastically reduced, at times successive to the early winds; this indeed will not necessarily curtail the SF, as indicated by the presence of starburst galaxies with X–ray winds and ongoing massive star formation (see DFJ for references).

The necessity of a self–consistent modelling of the development of galactic winds and continued star formation is underlined in DFJ. Only Arimoto (1989) has discussed models for which the SF has not been interrupted after the first wind, but his treatment is unsatisfactory for the simplicistic star formation "law" and for the absence of a cloud phase.

Our model, so, clashes with AY and MT models, whose results cannot in principle be compared with the observations of ellipticals, while is complementary to the DFJ studies.

Notwithstanding the differences in the methods, a few qualitative behaviours result the same in the various models: the increase of first wind occurrence time and the decrease of expelled mass fraction with galactic mass, the fraction of remaining gas, the influence of dark matter which increases the time of the first wind. Like AY we find a metal distribution in stars with similar extremes and as MT we get different correlations between the single elements expelled and galactic mass.

Naturally, differences are present, for example our t_{gw} are shorter than the AY and MT ones, while our expelled masses are larger.

The relation between the time of the first wind t_{gw}, expressed in Gyr, and the initial galactic mass $M_G(0)$ in solar masses is: $\log t_{gw} = 0.37 \cdot \log M_G(0) - 4.89$. The relation found by MT was: $\log t_{gw} = 0.35 \cdot \log M_G(0) - 4.41$ for Salpeter IMF, while AY found $\log t_{gw} = 0.44 \cdot \log M_G(0) - 5.42$.

The continued presence of winds at later times generates also differences in the elements pollution into the intergalactic gas, which increases, although slowly, at late times. The absolute amount of material is low but its metal content is high and its contribution to the extragalactic abundances of heavy elements is relevant, in agreement with DFJ results.

An important qualitative comparison concerns the regimes of gas expulsion, as function of galactic mass. We are in agreement with DFJ results for what concerns both low mass galaxies, able to maintain galactic winds for most of their lifetime, and massive galaxies, which after a strong wind phase ($t \leq 0.5$ Gyr), present a low wind phase ($0.5 \leq t \leq 3$ Gyr) and then a long smooth flux which constitues a hot corona and may be the source of the cooling flow. In Fig.10 and 11 we plot respectively the behaviour of the diffuse gas phase and the total expelled mass: the presence of three different regimes is evident.

Fig.12 shows the rate of expelled O and Fe, in solar masses per year, as a function of time: the lines must not be interpreted in the sense of a continuous loss of those elements from the galaxy, they are only shown for clearness. We note that during the very first episodes of wind, the amount of expelled oxygen exceeds iron, due to Type II SNs explosions, while the subsequent lost gas has a much higher iron to oxygen ratio, for Type Ia SNs. This must be kept in mind while studying the enrichment of the intracluster medium: considering the evolution only until the onset of the first wind means to underestimate the amount of iron that ellipticals are able to provide to the IGM.

Our model allows a larger number of observational constraints than others; from the comparison with the data – so far partial, exaustive when the spectrophotometric part of the project will be ready – we recognize the importance of phase cycling along the evolution as a key to understand the present properties of ellipticals, determined, besides winds, by the gas–clouds–stars conspiracy.

5. DISCUSSION

This paper is the first of a series aimed to extend to elliptical galaxies the self-consistent approach, successful in understanding the evolution of spiral galaxies, and, in particular, their chemical evolution.

The main points of this paper are:

1) the general theoretical picture of ellipticals reveals systems with high star formation ($\sim 1500\, M_{\odot}\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ compared to the maximum computed SFR in our galaxy of $\sim 10\, M_{\odot}\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$), in the initial phases of evolution; this strongly influences both dynamics and chemical enrichment of diffuse matter.

2) Our model requires high efficiences of gas loss during the episodes of galactic winds, with typical timescale of 10^4 years, to reproduce the observational constraints.

3) The high rates of SNs II in the first evolutionary phases, consequence of the high SFR produce relative chemical abundances in ISM and, consequently, in the subsequent stellar population, different from the solar neighbourhood and, in general, spiral galaxies. This has to be kept in mind in any kind of studies of ellipticals: the different chemical abundances influence lifetimes, final stages and spectral energy distribution of stars. Most of the chemical calibrations derived from observations in our own galaxy are expected to fail in reproducing the characteristics of these systems. We will analyse these effects with more details, particularly concerning the index Mg_2, in a following paper; the detailed chemical analyses of our model allows to evaluate a new theorical calibration.

4) The stated concept that higher stellar metallicities imply more recent evolutionary times cannot be applied to ellipticals; our models present a non-monotic metal evolution, with episodes of "super metallicities" that could become observable with expected observational improvements.

5) Studies of stellar evolutionary tracks with non–solar relative chemical abundances and metal content are necessary for any study of ellipticals. The monotic growth of the total metal content with the ^{4}He abundance, supported from observations and found also by FMPP in their model for the solar neighborhood does not occur in ellipticals.

6) Within our model we follow the Star Formation Rate and all the evolution in a

self-consistent way also after the first episode of galactic wind. In fact, if it is true that the amount of mass loss from the galaxy is determined mostly from the first wind or from the winds occurring during the first Gyr, many other quantities at the present time are decided from the following episodes of wind: the amount of diffuse gas and the consequent SFR (two of the most important data to compare with observations) strongly depend on the restoration of gas from evolving stars and from the galactic winds occurring after the first Gyr.

Acknowledgments

We thank F. Matteucci and S.N. Shore for interesting discussions. We warmly thank Amedeo Tornambè for the help in understanding the present status of the Type I SNs theory, for his kind calculation of the cumulative number of SN I tha we used in the model and for his continuous support to our researches. We are grateful to U. Penco for his precious and competent collaboration to the final development of the computational code. The comments of the referee, Gillian R. Knapp, improved the clearness of the paper.

F.F. acknowledges support from MURST 40% and MURST 60%.

REFERENCES

Angeletti, L. & Giannone, P. 1990, A&A, 234, 53 (AG)

Angeletti, L. & Giannone, P. 1991, A&A, 248, 45

Arimoto, N. 1989 in Evolutionary Phenomena in Galaxies, ed. J.E.Beckman & B.E.J. Pagel (Cambridge: C.U.P.), p.323

Arimoto, N. & Yoshii, Y. 1987, A&A, 173, 23 (AY)

Arnaud, M., Rothenflug, R., Boulade, O., Vigroux, L. & Vangioni-Flam, E. 1992, A&A, 254, 49

Bertola, F., Burstein, D. & Buson, L.M. 1992, preprint

Branch, D. & Nomoto, K. 1986, A&A, 164, 113

Bregman, J.N., Roberts, M.S. & Giovannelli, R. 1988, ApJ, 330, L93

Brocato, E., Matteucci, F., Mazzitelli, I. & Tornambè, A. 1990, ApJ, 349, 458

Burkert, A. & Hensler, G. 1987, in Nuclear Astrophysics, eds. Hillebrandt *et al.*, Springer-Verlag, p.159

Burstein, D. 1979, ApJ, 232, 74

Canizares, C.R., Fabbiano, G. & Trinchieri, G. 1987, ApJ, 312, 503

Cappellaro, E. & Turatto, M. 1988, A&A, 190, 10

Ciotti, L., D'Ercole, A., Pellegrini, S. & Renzini, A. 1991, ApJ, 376, 380

Cox, D.P. 1972, ApJ, 178, 159

David, L.P., Forman, W. & Jones, C. 1990, ApJ, 359, 29

David, L.P., Forman, W. & Jones, C. 1991a, ApJ, 369, 121

David, L.P., Forman, W. & Jones, C.:1991b, ApJ, 380, 39

de Vaucouleurs, G., de Vaucouleurs, A. & Corwin, H.G. 1976, Second Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies, (Austin: Univ. Texas Press)

de Vaucouleurs, G., de Vaucouleurs, A., Corwin, H.G., Buta, R.J., Paturel, G. & Fouquè, P. 1991, Third Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies, (New York: Springer Verlag)

de Young, D.S. 1978, ApJ, 223, 47

Di Serego Alighieri, S., Trinchieri, G. & Brocato, E. 1990, in Windows on Galaxies, ed.

G.Fabbiano, J.A. Gallagher & A. Renzini (Dordrecht: Kluwer), p. 301

Evans, R., van den Bergh, S. & McClure, R.D. 1989, ApJ, 345, 752

Fabbiano, G. 1989, ARAA, 27, 87

Fabbiano, G., Kim, D.W. & Trinchieri, G. 1992, ApJ Suppl., 80, 531

Ferrini, F. 1991a, in Evolution of interstellar matter and dynamics of galaxies, ed. J. Palouš (Cambridge U.P.), in press

Ferrini, F. 1991b, in Chemical and Dynamical evolution of Galaxies, ed. F. Ferrini, F. Matteucci & J. Franco (Pisa: ETS), p.511

Ferrini, F. Galli, D. 1988, A&A, 195, 27

Ferrini, F., Palla, F. & Penco, U. 1990, A&A, 231, 3

Ferrini, F., Matteucci, F., Pardi, C. & Penco, U. 1992, ApJ, 387, 138 (FMPP)

Forman, W., Jones, C. & Tucker, W. 1985, ApJ, 293, 102

Gordon, M.A., 1990, ApJ, 350, L29

Gordon, M.A., 1991, ApJ, 371, 563

Greggio, L., Renzini, A. 1990, ApJ, 364, 35

Haud, U., Joever, M. & Einasto, J. 1985, IAU Symposium, The Milky Way Galaxy, eds. H. van Woerden *et al.* , p.85

Huchtmeier, W.K., Bregman, J.N., Hogg, D.E. & Roberts, M.S., 1988, A&A, 198, L17

Iben, I.Jr. & Tutukov, A. 1984, ApJSup, 54, 335

Iben, I.Jr., Nomoto, K., Tornambè, A. & Tutukov, A. 1987, ApJ, 317, 717

Jura, M. 1986, ApJ, 306, 483

Jura, M. 1990, in Windows on galaxies, ed.G.Fabbiano, J.A. Gallagher & A. Renzini (Dordrecht: Kluwer), p.321

Jura, M., Kim, D., Knapp, G.R. & Guhathakurta, P. 1987, ApJ, 312, L11

Kim, D.W., Gutathakurta, P., van Gorkom, J.H., Jura, M. & Knapp, G.R., 1988, ApJ, 330, 684

Landau, L. & Lifshitz, E. 1970, in Physique theorique – II:Theorie des champs, ed. MIR, Moscou

Lees, J.F., Knapp, G.R., Rupen, M.P. & Phillips, T.G. 1991, ApJ, 379, 177

Matteucci, F. 1992, preprint

Matteucci, F. & Tornambè, A. 1987, A&A, 185, 51 (MT)

Nomoto, K., Thielemann, F.K. & Yokoi, K. 1984, ApJ, 286, 644

Pagel, B.E.J. & Edmunds, M.G. 1981, ARAA, 19, 77

Popova E.I., Tutukov, V. & Yungelson, L.R. 1982, Astrophys. Space Science, 88, 55

Roberts, M.S., Hogg, D.E., Bregman, J.N., Forman, W.R. & Jones, C. 1991, ApJ Suppl, 75, 751

Sadler, E.M. 1988, in Cooling Flows in Clusters and Galaxies, ed. A.C. Fabian (Dordrecht: Kluwer), p. 376

Sadler, E.M. & Gerhard, O.E. 1985, in New Aspects of Galaxy Photometry, ed. J.-L. Nieto, (New York: Springer Verlag), p. 269

Sage, L.J. & Galletta, G. 1992, preprint

Saito, M. 1979, Publ. Astron. Soc. Japan, 31, 181

Sandage, A. 1986, A& A, 161, 89

Schweizer, F. 1987, in Structure and dynamics of elliptical galaxies, eds. D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, Holland, p.109

Sparks, W.B., Wall, J.V., Thorne, D.J., Jorden, P.R. & van Breda, I.G. et al. 1985, MNRAS, 217, 87

Thronson, H.A.jr. & Bally, J. 1987, ApJ, 319, L63

Tinsley, B.M. & Larson, R.B. 1979, MNRAS, 186, 503

Tornambè, A. 1989, MNRAS, 239, 771

Tully, R.B. 1988, Nearby Galaxies Catalog, ed. R.B. Tully (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

van den Bergh, S. & Tammann, G.A. 1991, ARAA, 29, 363

Veron-Cetty, M. & Veron, P. 1988, A&A, 204, 28

Vigroux, L. 1977, A&A, 56, 473

Walsh, D.E.P., van Gorkom, J.H., Bies, W.E., Katz, N., Knapp, G.R. & Wallington, S., 1990, ApJ, 352, 532

Whelan, J.C. & Iben, I.Jr. 1973, ApJ, 186, 1007

White, R.E.III 1991, ApJ, 367, 69

Yoshii, Y. & Arimoto, N. 1987, A&A, 188, 13

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1 – Cumulative number of Supernovae Type Ia and Ib from Tornambé with the IMF adopted in this paper.

Fig. 2 – Predicted Star Formation Rates ($M_{\odot}\,\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$) as functions of time both in the internal and external zone. Time is expressed in units of 10^9 years.

Fig. 3 – Predicted behaviour as a function of time for the stellar remnants (r), low mass stars (s), clouds (c) and diffuse gas (g) for the external zone. All the quantities are expressed as fractions of the total mass.

Fig. 4 – The same as Fig. 3 but for the internal zone.

Figs. 5 (a,b) – Predicted age–metallicity relation for [Fe/H] and [O/H] (in solar units) in the two zones.

Fig. 6 – The same as Fig. 5 but for the disk of spiral galaxies.

Fig. 7 – Predicted age–metallicity relation in the two zones.

Fig. 8 – Predicted age–metallicity relation in spiral galaxies, both for disk and halo.

Fig. 9 (a,b) – Predicted [O/Fe] vs. [Fe/H] relation for the external region and for the disk of spiral galaxies.

Fig. 10 – Predicted behaviour as a function of time for the diffuse gas (g) for the two zones.

Fig. 11 – Predicted behaviour as a function of time for the rate of expelled mass.

Fig. 12 – Predicted behaviour as a function of time for the rate of O and Fe expelled mass.

TABLE 1

Results of selected models

	$M_G(0)$	M_{dark}	k	t_{gw}	M_{out}	Fe	SFR	t_{lw}	Ia (SNU)	g	c	s	r
A	10^{12}	0	1000	0.35	0.68	14.5	0.2	15.79	0.05	0.002	0.002	0.250	0.068
B	10^{12}	0	100	0.35	0.31	17.4	5.1	15.54	0.08	0.011	0.006	0.287	0.074
C	10^{12}	0	1000	0.35	0.65	3.4	0.6	15.79	0.005	0.002	0.003	0.269	0.077
D	$2 \cdot 10^{12}$	0	1000	0.45	0.62	17.7	0.3	15.79	0.06	0.002	0.002	0.295	0.080
E	10^{11}	0	1000	0.15	0.82	0.7	0.02	16.00	0.03	0.002	0.002	0.140	0.038
F	10^{12}	10^{12}	1000	0.85	0.44	21.3	1.3	15.79	0.10	0.002	0.005	0.434	0.116